

Diagnosis of paratuberculosis by fecal culture and ELISA on milk and serum samples in two types of Chilean dairy goat herds

Miguel Salgado,¹ Juan Kruze, Michael T. Collins

Abstract. Fecal culture has been the primary method used to diagnose paratuberculosis in goats. It is laborious, slow, and expensive. Validation of enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) on milk samples could make paratuberculosis testing more widely available for goat farmers. The aim of this study was to determine the accuracy of serum and milk ELISAs for paratuberculosis, relative to fecal culture, in Chilean dairy goats. Eight dairy goat herds were selected. Feces, blood, and milk samples were collected from all female goats >2 years old. Fecal samples were cultured using Herrold egg yolk medium with mycobactin J and antibiotics. Serum and milk samples were tested using a commercial ELISA kit for *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* antibody detection. A total of 383 goats were tested by ELISA and fecal culture. The sensitivity of ELISA on serum and milk relative to fecal culture was 74.3% (95% CI: 59.8–88.8) and 60% (95% CI: 43.8–76.2), respectively. The corresponding values for ELISA specificity based on the percentage of non-*M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*-infected goats testing ELISA-negative were 98.6% (95% CI: 96.6–100) and 99.3% (95% CI: 97.9–100) on serum and milk, respectively. Proportions of positive results for serum and fecal samples were significantly different, whereas the proportions of positive results for milk and fecal samples were not significantly different. The milk ELISA had a moderate level of agreement with fecal culture results ($Kappa = 0.57$). The paratuberculosis ELISA on goat milk samples may be a cost-effective, accurate alternative to fecal culture.

Key words: Caprine; Chile; diagnosis; ELISA; goats; Johne disease; paratuberculosis.

Paratuberculosis was first reported in Chile by Gringbergs and Caorsi,³ who described the disease in cattle in the Valdivia province. Since then numerous cases of bovine paratuberculosis have been diagnosed clinically and bacteriologically in Chile.^{15,17} The disease has also been described in sheep,¹⁷ and more recently it has been reported in goats.⁶ In many countries, paratuberculosis is common in goats, and its effects on production can be economically significant.^{1,7,9,13} However, the herd prevalence in goats in most countries, including Chile, is unknown. Prevalence estimation as well as paratuberculosis control and prevention programs depend on the application of accurate diagnostic tests.

Diagnosing paratuberculosis by culture is laborious, slow, and expensive. The cost of paratuberculosis testing is a significant impediment to infection surveillance and control, particularly for small ruminant industries, because of the low economic value of each animal. Validation of enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) on milk samples could make paratuberculosis testing more affordable and more widely available and provide a useful tool for the management of this disease by dairy goat farmers.

The goal of this study was to determine the accuracy of serum and milk ELISAs for paratuberculosis, relative to fecal culture, in Chilean dairy goats.

Eight dairy goat herds from different geographic areas of the country (central and southern regions) were selected for the study. Four herds were intensively managed (stabled throughout the year), larger on average, and composed primarily of imported goat breeds, primarily Saanen. A history of paratuberculosis based on positive serology tests and clinical cases was kept on each herd. The other 4 herds were extensively managed (never stabled), rarely included animals purchased from other herds, and had no previous history or even knowledge about the disease. Age was the primary criterion for animal testing, with a view to increasing chances of detecting seroconversion to *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* (MAP).

Feces, blood, and milk samples were simultaneously collected one time from all female goats >2 years old from October 2004 to January 2005. Feces were collected per rectum, transferred to a plastic bag, kept at room temperature, and cultured within 12 hr of collection. Blood samples (10 ml) were obtained from the jugular vein using Vacutainers,^a and after clotting and centrifugation, the serum was harvested and frozen at -20°C until tested. Milk samples were obtained by manual milking into 50-ml plastic tubes, transported to the laboratory, and frozen at -20°C until tested. Briefly, fecal samples were cultured using a homemade Herrold egg yolk medium with mycobactin J^b following the processing protocol developed at Cornell University.¹⁴ At the end of the incubation period (9 mo), colonies resembling MAP and showing mycobac-

From the Instituto de Microbiología, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Austral de Chile, Casilla 167, Valdivia, Chile (Salgado, Kruze), and the Department of Pathobiological Sciences, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706 (Collins).

¹ Corresponding Author: Miguel Salgado Instituto de Microbiología, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Austral de Chile, Casilla 167, Valdivia, Chile.

Table 1. Number of goats tested for paratuberculosis on 8 Chilean dairy herds by serum and milk ELISA and fecal culture.*

Herd	N° tested ELISA	N° Serum ELISA (+)	N° Milk ELISA (+)	N° FC tested	N° FC-positive	No. positive tests in complete agreement
Infected herds						
1	60	14 (23.3%)	7 (11.7%)	60	14 (23.3%)	7
2	58	19 (32.8%)	12 (20.7%)	50	6 (12.0%)	5
7	94	22 (23.4%)	12 (12.8%)	94	10 (10.6%)	8
8	41	9 (22.0%)	5 (12.2%)	41	5 (12.2%)	3
Subtotal	253	64 (25.3%)	36 (14.2%)	245	35 (14.3%)	23
Noninfected herds						
3	32	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	31	0 (0.0%)	0
4	35	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	34	0 (0.0%)	0
5	54	1 (1.9%)	1 (1.9%)	53	0 (0.0%)	0
6	20	1 (5.0%)	0 (0%)	20	0 (0.0%)	0
Subtotal	141	2 (1.4%)	1 (0.7%)	138	0 (0.0%)	0
Total	394	66 (16.8%)	37 (9.4%)	383	35	0

* ELISA = enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; FC = fecal culture.

tin-dependance were counted and confirmed by IS900 polymerase chain reaction (PCR) technology using specific primers for IS900 (P90 and P91).¹⁵ Serum and milk samples were tested in duplicate wells using a commercial ELISA kit for MAP antibody detection.^c Sera were tested according to the manufacturer's instructions for cattle. Milk samples were centrifuged (2,000 × *g* for 10 min), and a portion of the skim milk fraction was pipetted off from below the cream layer. This milk fraction was then treated like a serum sample with exception to the protocol: the milk was mixed with ELISA kit diluent at a ratio of 1 : 2 instead of 1 : 20, as was done for serum. The absorbance reading in all ELISA plate wells was measured at 620 nm using an automatic ELISA reader.^d

The case definition for a MAP-infected goat was isolation of MAP from a fecal sample. The case definition for a noninfected goat was a goat originating from a herd where none of the goats tested were fecal culture-positive.¹³

ELISA optical density (OD) readings were transformed to S/P values as per manufacturer's directions:

$$S/P = \frac{\text{Sample OD} - \text{Negative control OD}}{\text{Positive control OD} - \text{Negative control OD}}$$

All assays were run in duplicate, and all assays with a between-well coefficient of variation of $\geq 10\%$ were repeated, and the second result was used for data analysis. The manufacturer's recommended cut-off for a positive assay in cattle, $S/P \geq 0.25$, was used to define a positive serum or milk ELISA result.

Sensitivity was defined as the percentage of fecal culture-positive goats testing ELISA-positive. Specificity was defined as the percentage of non-MAP-infected goats testing ELISA-negative. The level of agreement between results for serum and milk samples was determined by calculating the *Kappa* value. The McNemar test was used to compare paired population proportions of positive results for the 2 ELISAs. Agreement levels between each of the 2 ELISAs and results of fecal culture were determined by

calculating the *Kappa* value. Finally, paired population proportions of positive results were compared between each ELISA and fecal culture results by use of the McNemar test. All statistical analyses were done using InStat.^c ELISA results were also evaluated at the herd level. Because ELISA S/P results by herd did not show homocedasticity and were not normally distributed, the ANOVA Kruskal-Wallis test was used, followed by the multiple comparative Dunn test.^c

Among the 394 goats sampled, only 383 were tested by fecal culture, because 11 animals had no fecal material in the rectum at the time of sampling. These 11 animals were not included in the test accuracy estimation. Among the 8 herds, 4 had a total of 35 fecal culture-positive animals (9.1% of all sampled animals or 14.3% of goats tested from infected herds). The fecal culture-positive animals were categorized according to the shedding status as low (<50 cfu/g, 26 animals), medium (50–300 cfu/g, 6 animals), and heavy (>300 cfu/g, 3 animals) shedders. In the other 4 herds, no goats had a positive fecal culture. Among all goats tested, 66 (16.8%) goats had a positive serum ELISA and 37 (9.4%) had a positive milk ELISA (Table 1).

Of the 35 MAP-infected goats, 26 and 21 were serum and milk ELISA-positive, respectively (Tables 2 and 3). Because of the small sample size of the noninfected goats ($n = 138$), Receiver Operator Characteristics (ROC) analysis was not possible; therefore the manufacturer's recommended cut-off for interpretation of serum ELISA results for cattle was used. At the S/P cut-off of ≥ 0.25 , the sensitivity of the serum ELISA was 74.3% (95% CI: 59.8–88.8) and the sensitivity of the milk ELISA was 60% (95% CI: 43.8–76.2). ELISA specificity was 98.6% (95% CI: 96.6–100) and 99.3% (95% CI 97.9–100) on serum and milk, respectively. The *P* value for the McNemar chi-square test showed that proportions of positive results for serum and fecal samples were significantly different ($P < 0.001$) (Table 2). By contrast, the *P* value for the McNemar test showed that proportions of positive results for milk and fecal samples were not significantly different ($P > 0.585$)

Table 2. Cross classification of serum ELISA and fecal culture results.*

Serum ELISA	Fecal culture	
	Positive	Negative
Positive	26	37
Negative	9	311

* ELISA = enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. McNemar chi-square test ($P < 0.001$).

(Table 3), and the level of agreement between results of milk ELISA and fecal culture was high ($Kappa = 0.57$). The P value for the McNemar test ($P < 0.001$) (Table 4) indicated that proportions of positive results for milk and serum samples were significantly different.

Composite results of both serum and milk ELISAs showed significant difference among the 8 goat herds tested ($P < 0.001$). Using fecal culture as a reference test, the serum ELISA sensitivity and specificity based on herd status are comparable to those of other publications on serum ELISA accuracy for diagnosis of paratuberculosis in goats.^{1,8,11,13} Sensitivity of the milk ELISA was slightly lower than for the serum ELISA but the assay specificity was higher than that of the serum ELISA. When the ELISA was used on milk samples there was a higher level of agreement with the results of fecal culture ($Kappa = 0.57$), and the proportion of positive results for milk and fecal samples did not significantly differ ($P > 0.585$) (Table 2). These findings extend similar observations made previously on milk ELISA accuracy in US goat herds.¹³ Milk ELISA for diagnosis of paratuberculosis in cattle has a sensitivity and specificity comparable to that of serum ELISAs in cattle, albeit lower than that observed in goats.^{2,4} This could in part be attributable to the stringency of the reference test used for comparison or the antibody dilution found in high-producing dairy cattle as compared to goats. Also, milk ELISA sensitivity in cattle is affected by lactation stage, a factor not taken into account in the present study.¹⁰

Among the 8 study herds, only 4 were infected, and a significant difference was seen in serum and milk ELISA results between infected and noninfected herds ($P < 0.001$). Furthermore, agreement among tests was higher in the more heavily infected herds; therefore, herd infection status was reflected in the serum and milk ELISA results (Table 1). The infected herds were generally larger,

Table 3. Cross classification of milk ELISA and fecal culture results.*

Milk ELISA	Fecal culture	
	Positive	Negative
Positive	21	13
Negative	14	335

* ELISA = enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. $Kappa = 0.57$ (95% CI: 0.470–0.670). McNemar chi-square test ($P > 0.585$).

Table 4. Cross classification of serum and milk ELISA results.*

Milk ELISA	Serum ELISA	
	Positive	Negative
Positive	37	0
Negative	29	328

* ELISA = enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. McNemar chi-square test ($P < 0.001$).

managed intensively, and systematically violated most recommendations for control of paratuberculosis by herd management, including routine introduction of animals of unknown paratuberculosis test status from herds of unknown MAP infection status.^{5,16} These herds experienced clinical cases of paratuberculosis and even mortalities caused by the disease.

The 4 noninfected herds were managed extensively but did not import goats from other herds. Also, there was no mixed grazing with other susceptible ruminant species, a possible risk factor for paratuberculosis.¹² Thus, although these 4 herds also systematically violated other recommended management practices for control of paratuberculosis, they did not experience problems because of paratuberculosis.

The present study found that caprine paratuberculosis is present and probably prevalent in Chile, particularly in those dairy goat herds that are modernizing through the introduction of animals to improve the genetic capacity of goats for milk production. The paratuberculosis milk ELISA for goats is fast and inexpensive. Although the milk ELISA sensitivity is lower than that of serum ELISA, milk ELISAs can be an effective method for detecting heavy fecal shedders (Tables 5 and 6). It offers the dairy goat industry a new affordable tool with which to control paratuberculosis. As with all indirect diagnostic tests for paratuberculosis, it is important that at least 1 culture-confirmed case of paratuberculosis be demonstrated in the herd before placing confidence in the ELISA results; also, the infection status of ELISA-positive goats should be confirmed with culture before removal based on ELISA results alone in subclinical goats.

Acknowledgement. This study was supported by the Microbiology Department, Faculty of Sciences, Universidad Austral de Chile.

Table 5. Relationship between serum ELISA results and MAP-shedding status.*

Shedding status (cfu/g)	ELISA		Total
	Positive	Negative	
Low (<50)	17	9	26
Medium (50–300)	6	0	6
Heavy (>300)	3	0	3
Total	26	9	35

* ELISA = enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; MAP = *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*.

Table 6. Relationship between milk ELISA results and MAP-shedding status.

Shedding status (cfu/g)	ELISA		Total
	Positive	Negative	
Low (<50)	14	12	26
Medium (50–300)	6	0	6
Heavy (>300)	1	2	3
Total	21	14	35

* ELISA = enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; MAP = *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*.

Sources and manufacturers

- BD Diagnostics, Loveton, MD.
- Allied Monitor, Incorporated, Fayette, MO.
- IDEXX Laboratories, Inc., Westbrook, ME.
- BIO-TEK Instruments, Inc., Winooski, VT.
- Instat version 3.00 for Windows 95; GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA.

References

- Burnside DM, Rowley BO: 1994, Evaluation of an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for diagnosis of Paratuberculosis in goats. *Am J Vet Res* 55:465–466.
- Collins MT, Wells SJ, Petrini KR, et al.: 2005, Evaluation of five antibody detection tests for diagnosis of bovine Paratuberculosis. *Clin Diag Lab Immunol* 12:685–692.
- Grinbergs J, Caorsi I: 1958, Enfermedad de Johne o Paratuberculosis en Chile. publicaciones científicas de la Universidad Austral de Chile. 4:9–13.
- Hendrick S, Duffield TF, Kelton DF, et al.: 2005, Evaluation of enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays performed on milk and serum samples for detection of Paratuberculosis in lactating dairy cows. *JAVMA* 226:424–428.
- Kennedy D, Benedictus G: 2001, Control of *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis* in agricultural species. *Rev Sci Tech Off Int Epiz* 20:151–179.
- Kruze J, Salgado M, Paredes E, et al.: 2006, Goat paratuberculosis in Chile: first isolation and confirmation of *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* infection in a dairy goat. *J Vet Diagn Invest* 18:476–479.
- Manning EJB, Steinberg H, Krebs V, Collins MT: 2003, Diagnostic testing patterns of natural *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis* infection in pygmy goats. *Can J Vet Res* 67: 213–218.
- Molina A, Morera L, Llanes D: 1991, Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for detection of antibodies against *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis* in goats. *Am J Vet Res* 52: 863–868.
- Munjal SK, Boehmer J, Beyerbach M, et al.: 2004, Evaluation of a LAM ELISA for diagnosis of Paratuberculosis in sheep and goats. *Vet Microbiol* 103:107–114.
- Nielsen SS, Enevoldsen C, Grohn YT: 2002, The *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* ELISA response by parity and stage of lactation. *Prev Vet Med* 54:1–10.
- Rajukumar K, Tripathi BN, Kurade NP, et al.: 2001, An enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay using immunoaffinity-purified antigen in the diagnosis of caprine Paratuberculosis and its comparison with conventional ELISAs. *Vet Res Comm* 25:539–553.
- Ris D, Weaver A: 1988, Natural transmission of Johne's disease to feral goats. *N Z Vet J* 36:98.
- Salgado M, Manning EJB, Collins MT: 2005, Performance of a Johne's disease enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay adapted for milk samples goats. *J Vet Diagn Invest* 17: 350–354.
- Soto JP, Kruze J, Leiva S: 2002a, Comparison of three methods for the diagnosis of bovine paratuberculosis in infected dairy herds. *Arch Med Vet* 34:265–273.
- Soto JP, Kruze J, Leiva S: 2002b, Isolation of *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* from bovine feces of infected dairy herds by the Cornell's Method Modified. *Arch Med Vet* 34:275–282.
- Sweeney RW: 1996, Transmission of paratuberculosis. *Vet Clin North Amer Food Anim Prac* 12:305–312.
- Zamora J, Kruze J, Schifferli C: 1975, Ovine paratuberculosis: first case reported in Chile. *Arch Med Vet* 7:15–17.